



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : A01N 25/00, 55/02, 59/16, 59/20		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/62609
			(43) International Publication Date: 26 October 2000 (26.10.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/IL00/00219 (22) International Filing Date: 13 April 2000 (13.04.00) (30) Priority Data: 09/291,312 15 April 1999 (15.04.99) US (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): AGRICARE LTD. [IL/IL]; 39 Aharonson Street, 52293 Ramat Gan (IL). (72) Inventor; and (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): TSIVION, Yoram [IL/IL]; 3 Barkan Street, 37000 Pardes Hanna (IL). (74) Agent: EITAN, PEARL, LATZER & COHEN-ZEDEK; 2 Gav Yam Center, 7 Shenkar Street, 46725 Herzlia (IL).		(81) Designated States: AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>	
(54) Title: AGENTS AND METHODS FOR THE CONTROL OF FUNGAL AND BACTERIAL DISEASES			
(57) Abstract			
<p>A method and agents for the control of fungal and bacterial diseases are provided. In one embodiment, specific chelates of one or more metal ions operate to control bacterial caused diseases in plants. In another embodiment, fungal disease in plants is controlled by employing a chelate of zinc ion, together with a chelate of another metal. Some of the metals preferred for use in combination with zinc comprise copper and/or manganese. Some preferred chelating agents comprise glycine, citric acid or resorcinol. The chelates may be based upon organic acids, organic alcohols or amines, aromatic ring substitution products, or other synthetic chelating agents combined with a metal.</p>			

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

AGENTS AND METHODS FOR THE CONTROL OF FUNGAL AND BACTERIAL DISEASES

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates generally to disease control. More specifically, the
5 invention relates to the control of diseases caused by fungi or bacteria. Most
specifically, the invention relates to methods and materials for controlling fungal or
bacterial disease in plants.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Fungal diseases are very common in crops in various stages of the
10 growth cycle, sometimes with devastating effect. Commercially there are many
control agents to combat such diseases, belonging to diverse chemical groups.

IL 97676 discloses compositions and a method for the control of fungal
diseases using citric acid chelates of copper, zinc, manganese and calcium either
singly or in combination. The specific identity of the diseases was not disclosed
15 apart from the fact that the pest was a fungus in each example given.

The Pesticide Manual (Ed. C.R. Worthing, The British Crop Protection
Council Ninth Edition, 1991), lists several commercial fungicides which are
complexes of thiocarbamates with one of the following metals: manganese, zinc,
iron, copper or specific mixtures of such complexes. The carbamates *per se* are
20 well known fungicides and are used in that sense also without the addition of
metal.

Bacterial diseases occur in many crops in various stages of the growth
cycle, sometimes with devastating effect, such as fireblight in pears and apples.

Some storage or "postharvest" diseases of agricultural products are caused by bacteria.

Commercially there are not many control agents to combat such diseases, the most prominent ones are antibiotics and copper based control
5 agents.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the invention to provide a composition for controlling fungal and bacterial diseases in plants.

According to the invention there is provided a composition for the control of fungal diseases which contains at least one type of chelating agent complexed with zinc ion and with at least another metal ion, whereas the chelating agent's molecules are characterized in being either synthetic or natural.

According to the invention, bacterial pathogens can be controlled by treating the infected plants or cultures of the bacteria with chelated metal ions, most notable in this respect is zinc. However, other chelated metals are active singly, or in mixture with chelated metals, notably with chelated zinc.

A second aspect of the invention is that a certain bacterial pathogen tends to be more susceptible to a specific chelating agent or a combination of such agents in connection with a certain metal or with a combination of such metals, than other bacterial pathogens.

In one specific embodiment, specific chelates of one or more metal ions operate to control bacterial caused diseases in plants. These chelates may be based upon organic acids, organic alcohols or amines, aromatic ring substitution products, or other synthetic chelating agents combined with a metal, which may comprise zinc, copper, or manganese, used either singly or in combination. In another aspect of the present invention, it has been found that fungal disease in plants can be effectively controlled by employing a chelate of zinc ion, together with a chelate of another metal. Some of the metals preferred for use in

combination with zinc comprise copper and/or manganese. Some preferred chelating agents comprise glycine, citric acid or resorcinol.

These agents are highly effective in controlling both bacterial and fungal diseases in plants.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PRESENT INVENTION

Fungal Infestation**Preparation of Compositions**

Following are various compositions (termed henceforth "test
5 compositions") that were tried in various experiments, for their effect on fungal
pathogens. All preparatory steps were carried out accompanied by occasional
stirring, until a clear solution was obtained in each case.

i) Citric Acid Chelates

Composition A: a measured amount of copper (supplied as
10 $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$), was thoroughly mixed in water with equimolar amount of citric
acid, then water added to form a final 0.83 M copper in solution.

Composition B: a measured amount of manganese (supplied in the form
of $\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot 1\text{H}_2\text{O}$, was thoroughly mixed in water with equimolar amount of citric
acid, then water added to form a final 1.65 M manganese in solution.

15 Composition C: a measured amount of zinc (supplied in the form of
 $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$), was thoroughly mixed in water with equimolar amount of citric
acid, then water added to form a final 1.5 M zinc in solution.

Composition D: an equal volume mixture of composition A and B. Final
concentration of total metals: 1.2 M

20 Composition E: an equal volume mixture of composition A, B and C.
Final concentration of total metals: 1.3 M.

ii) Amino Acid Chelates

Composition F: a measured amount of copper (supplied in the form of $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$), was thoroughly mixed in water with equimolar amount of glycine, then water added to form a final 1.19 M copper in solution.

5 Composition G: a measured amount of zinc (supplied in the form of $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$), was thoroughly mixed in water with equimolar amount of glycine, then water added to form a final 1.6 M zinc in solution.

Composition H: an equal volume mixture of composition G and F. Final concentration of total metals: 1.3 M.

10

Examples

Fungal growth was determined by measuring radial size of culture growing in plates on potato dextrose agar. Test solutions at various concentrations were incorporated into plates seeded with 5 mm plug of one week
15 old cultures. Colony diameter was determined at various times of incubation at 25°C.

Example 1. *Penicillium digitatum*, a common fungal pest, was treated in plates as described above, results are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1: Colony Size (in cm) After Incubation with Various Concentrations
(% in growth medium) of the Test Compositions.**

Test Composition	0 %	1.0 %	2.5 %
A	3.5	0.0	0.0
B	3.5	2.0	1.63
D	4.5	2.0	1.2
E	3.5	0.0	0.0

In this example, manganese chelate, and mixed copper and manganese chelates were least effective. The copper chelate and the mixed zinc copper and manganese chelates were much more effective.

Example 2. *Botrytis cynerea*, a common fungal pest, was treated in plates as described above, results are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2: Colony size (in cm) after Incubation with Various Concentrations
(% in growth medium) of the Test Compositions.**

Test Composition	0 %	1.0 %	2.5 %
A	9.0	8.0	0.27
B	9.0	9.0	7.6
D	9.0	9.0	9.0
E	9.0	2.4	1.9

In this example, as in the former one, manganese chelate, and mixed copper and manganese chelates were least effective. The mixed chelate of zinc, copper and manganese was most potent in the lowest effective concentration (1%), although the copper chelate had a better effect in the higher concentration (5%).

Example 3. Visual observation was used to find the inhibitory concentration of test compositions. Tissue culture wells were used to grow cultures of the fungi on agar, each consecutive well in a row containing an increasing concentration of the test composition. Assessment of inhibitory concentration of the test composition was done under the microscope.

Table 3a: Inhibitory Concentration Of Test Compositions (In % Of Culture Medium) On *Botrytis Cynerea* Cultures

Inhibition marks: +++ no inhibition; ++ 40-70% inhibition; + 80-90 % inhibition; - total inhibition.

Test Composition	0 %	0.1 %	0.2%	0.5 %
F	+++	+++	++	-
G	+++	+++	+	-
H	+++	++	-	-

Table 3b: Inhibitory Concentration of Test Compositions (in % of Culture Medium) on *Penicillium Expansum* Cultures

Inhibition marks: +++ no inhibition; ++ 40-70% inhibition; + 80-90 % inhibition; - total inhibition.

Test Composition	0 %	0.1 %	0.2%	0.5 %
F	+++	+++	+	-
G	+++	+++	++	-
H	+++	++	-	-

Table 3c: Inhibitory Concentration of Test Compositions (in % of Culture Medium) on *Penicillium Digitatum* Cultures

Inhibition marks: +++ no inhibition; ++ 40-70% inhibition;

5 + 80-90 % inhibition; - total inhibition.

Test Composition	0 %	0.05%	0.1 %	0.2%	0.5 %
F	+++	++	+	-	-
G	+++	+++	++	+	-
H	+++	++	-	-	-

The results show that for each of the three above well known plant pests, no matter whether the copper chelate or the zinc chelate alone were more potent,
 10 it was the mixture of the two which was most effective.

Bacterial Infestation

Following are various compositions (termed henceforth "test compositions") that were tried in various experiments, *in vivo* and or *in vitro* for their effect on bacterial pathogens. All preparatory steps were carried out
 15 accompanied by occasional stirring, until clear solution was obtained.

Composition A: 223 g citric acid , 94 g zinc oxide 450 ml water, then 50 ml nitric acid and 50 ml sulfuric acid, mixed and water added to a final volume of 700 ml.

Composition B: 223 g citric acid, 290 g cupric sulfate ($\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$), to
 20 that about 1200 ml water added to a final total volume of 1400 ml.

Composition C: an equal volume mixture solution of compositions A and B.

Composition D: 223 g citric acid, 196 g manganese sulfate ($\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$), to that water added to a final volume of 800 ml.

5 Composition E: an equal volume mixture solution of A, B and D.

Composition F: 10 g glycine, 38.6 g cupric sulfate ($\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$), water added to a final volume of 132 ml.

Composition G: 28 g glycine, 30 g zinc oxide, 100 ml water, 25 ml sulfuric acid, then water added to a final volume of 235 ml.

10 Composition H: 80% concentration of composition E.

Composition I: 30 g ZnO, 200 water, 30 ml sulfuric acid, 41 g resorcinol, water added to a final total volume of 340 ml.

Composition J: an equal volume mixture solution of F and G.

Field experiment 1: Effectivity of Composition in an Orchard

15 Pears are very susceptible to *Erwinia amylovora* attack, causing the trees a severe disease called fireblight, the symptoms of which are blackening and death of twigs and branches, with gradual progression towards the trunk, often leading to death of whole trees and entire orchards. As of today, there are no known efficient cures for the disease. An experiment was designed to assess the

20 efficacy of a composition according to the invention against an attack by the bacterial pathogen.

The experiment was carried out in a mature pear orchard of the variety Spadona, in northern Israel. Plot size of four trees were employed for each replication, with four random placed replications of each treatment.

First spraying was performed on March 4th, at swelling of buds, further sprayings were carried out on March 12, 17, and 21; and April 14, 1996.

List of Treatments:

1. 0.7% of test composition E in water with 0.025% of Triton X-100
5 surfactant.
2. 1% of the above composition, as above.
3. Kocide™ (Copper hydroxide by Griffin Corp. USA.), 0.3% at bud swelling
only;
4. Starner™ (Quinolinone compound, Sumitomo, Japan.), 0.15% for the rest
10 of the five applications.
5. Control (no sprays).
6. Evaluation of results: On April 26, May 7, and May 21, 1996 counts were
recorded of all infection centers typical to fireblight on the trees (including
inflorescences, branches and fruit spurs).

15

Table 4: Assessment of Fireblight Infection

Treatments	Average Number of Necrotic Centers		
	April 26, 1996	May 7, 1996	May 21, 1996
1	14.25 A	68.50 AB	97.75 AB
2	14.00 A	35.25 A	72.25 B
3	5.25 A	27.25 A	66.25 B
4	31.50 A	91.75 A	134.75 A

The statistical analysis performed was Duncan Multiple Range Test at a degree of 5% significance. In table 1, assessment figures in a column accompanied by different letters are significantly different.

5 Laboratory Investigation of Response of Bacterial Cultures to Various Chelates

Two different bacteria strains were used throughout: one, *Erwinia carotovora* isolated from potato tuber soft rot, and *Pseudomonas spp.* isolated from soft rot of *Calla spp* tuber. Starter cultures of both strains (24 hours old) were used for inoculating liquid medium flasks each containing different concentrations of one of the test compositions described above. Growth of the bacteria in the medium was determined after 24 and 48 hours of incubation at 28°C by measuring the turbidity of the culture at 550 nm.

Table 5a. *Erwinia* Growth in Culture as Affected By Test Compositions

Figures denote turbidity of culture after 48 hours for increasing concentrations of test compositions in culture flasks.

Composition	% in Culture							
	Molarity of total metals	0.00	0.10	0.50	1.00	1.50	2.00	2.50
A	1.4	1.10	1.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
C	1.13	1.21	1.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
F	1.19	1.05	1.05	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
G	1.6	1.24	1.04	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
H	1.06	1.18	1.04	0.33	0.11	0.00	0.00	-
I	1.2	1.26	1.15	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

J	1.33	1.09	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
---	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	---

Table 5b. *Pseudomonas* Growth in Culture as Affected By Test

Compositions

- 5 Figures denote turbidity of culture after 48 hours for increasing concentrations of test compositions in culture flasks.

Composition		% in Culture						
		0.00	0.10	0.50	1.00	1.50	2.00	2.50
C	1.13	1.23	0.59	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
F	1.19	1.22	1.18	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
G	1.6	1.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
H	1.06	1.15	0.17	0.14	0.07	0.00	0.00	-
I	1.2	1.13	0.93	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
J	1.33	1.04	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

- The results of the above laboratory investigations show activity of all test compositions. Indication for specific activity of different compositions towards each of the two strains of bacteria are clearly noted. In addition, for each strain specific composition affect the bacteria to a different extent. Attention is drawn to test composition G, that was most concentrated. However in *Erwinia* it can be seen that for 0.5% concentration, test composition I was more effective although its molar concentration was lower. Composition G was much more effective inhibitor of growth of the *Pseudomonas* strain than it was of the *Erwinia* strain.

Field Experiment 2: Phytotoxic Considerations

New, developing leaves of pear cultivar Spadona in early spring were sprayed with either 1% solution of the test solution C in water and surfactant as

above , whilst other trees were sprayed with test solution J in either 1% solution in water or in 0.6% concentration of the same. After three days, substantial scorching was observed in the leaves of the group sprayed with the 1% of solution J. Scorching also appeared in 0.6% of the same solution, in slightly less severe symptoms. The solution of 1% C in water exhibited almost no Phytotoxic
5 symptoms, after the three day period and later on.

CLAIMS

1. A composition for controlling fungal diseases which contains at least one type of chelating agent complexed with zinc ion and with at least another metal ion, whereas the chelating agent molecules are characterized in being either
5 synthetic or natural molecules.
2. A composition for controlling fungal diseases which contains at least one type of chelating agent complexed with zinc ion and with at least another metal ion considered to have nutritive value, whereas the chelating agent molecules are characterized in being either synthetic or natural molecules.
- 10 3. A composition as in Claim 1 wherein the ingredients are in the form of aqueous solution.
4. A composition as in Claim 1 wherein the ingredients are in dry form, whereby the addition of measured amount of water is performed prior to application onto plants.
- 15 5. A method for protecting plants or parts of plants against fungal pests comprising applying to said plants a composition containing chelated zinc mixed with at least another chelated metal ion.
6. A composition for the control of bacterial diseases which contains at least one type of metal chelated by at least one type of chelating agent , whereas the
20 chelating agent molecules are characterized in being any one or a combination of the following:
 - a) organic acid containing optionally more than one acidic group,

b) organic acid containing apart from the acidic group an additional functional group,

c) an alcohol or an amine optionally containing more than one functional groups,

5 d) an aromatic ring substitution product,

e) a synthetic chelating agent.

7. A composition as in Claim 6 wherein the ingredients are in the form of aqueous solution.

8. A composition as in Claim 6 wherein the ingredients are in dry form,
10 whereby the addition of measured amount of water is performed prior to application onto plants.

9. A composition according to claim 6, wherein one metal is zinc.

10. A method for the control of bacterial infestations comprising:
administration of a composition containing at least one chelated metal
15 species of the group known to form chelates, whereas a combination of at least one type of metal with at least one type of chelating agents is used against a certain bacterial infestation, or as a precautionary measure before an infestation is expected to begin.

11. A method according to Claim 10, in which a bacterial strain is controlled
20 better by a certain combination than by another combination.

12. A method according to Claim 10, in which Phytotoxic symptoms are less pronounced in using one type of mixture than in using another.

13. A method according to Claim 10, whereas at least one of the metals used alone or in combination is of a group known to be nutritious for plants or bacteria.

14. A method according to Claim 10, wherein the chelate composition is
5 administered to plant roots, via trunks, for systemic effect, by injection, by drippers or by irrigation.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IL00/00219

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(7) : A01N 25/00, 55/02, 59/16, 59/20 US CL : 424/405, 630, 639, 641, Dig. 6; 514/492, 494, 499 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC														
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. : 424/405, 630, 639, 641, Dig. 6; 514/492, 494, 499 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Please See Continuation Sheet														
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category *</th> <th>Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages</th> <th>Relevant to claim No.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X</td> <td>Database CAPLUS on STN, Accession Number 1976:517976, JENO, S. Systematically active fungicides. Abstract, AT 329925, see entire document.</td> <td>1-14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>US 3,494,945 A (LEWIS et al.) 10 February 1970 (10.02.1970), column 1, lines 35-44, column 2, lines 44, 45, 69-72, column 3, lines 1-9, column 5, lines 20-54.</td> <td>1-11, 13, 14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>JP 60146808 A (RIKAGAKU KENKYUSHO) 02 August 1985 (02.08.1985), see attached Abstract translation.</td> <td>1-11, 13, 14</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	X	Database CAPLUS on STN, Accession Number 1976:517976, JENO, S. Systematically active fungicides. Abstract, AT 329925, see entire document.	1-14	Y	US 3,494,945 A (LEWIS et al.) 10 February 1970 (10.02.1970), column 1, lines 35-44, column 2, lines 44, 45, 69-72, column 3, lines 1-9, column 5, lines 20-54.	1-11, 13, 14	Y	JP 60146808 A (RIKAGAKU KENKYUSHO) 02 August 1985 (02.08.1985), see attached Abstract translation.	1-11, 13, 14
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.												
X	Database CAPLUS on STN, Accession Number 1976:517976, JENO, S. Systematically active fungicides. Abstract, AT 329925, see entire document.	1-14												
Y	US 3,494,945 A (LEWIS et al.) 10 February 1970 (10.02.1970), column 1, lines 35-44, column 2, lines 44, 45, 69-72, column 3, lines 1-9, column 5, lines 20-54.	1-11, 13, 14												
Y	JP 60146808 A (RIKAGAKU KENKYUSHO) 02 August 1985 (02.08.1985), see attached Abstract translation.	1-11, 13, 14												
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.														
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Special categories of cited documents:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td>"Z" document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Special categories of cited documents:		"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"Z" document member of the same patent family	"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	
Special categories of cited documents:														
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention													
"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone													
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art													
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"Z" document member of the same patent family													
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed														
Date of the actual completion of the international search 03 August 2000 (03.08.2000)		Date of mailing of the international search report 14 SEP 2000												
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230		Authorized officer Frank Choi <i>Jerry J. Day for</i> Telephone No. (703) 308-1235												

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IL00/00219

Continuation of B. FIELDS SEARCHED Item 3: STN/CAS, WEST

Search terms: citric acid, glycine, resorcinol, zinc, copper, manganese, chelate, fungal, bacterial

Form PCT/ISA/210 (extra sheet) (July 1998)

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)